## TOWN OF CORTLANDT PLANNING AND ZONING BOARDS

ZONING BOARD MEETING

1 Heady Street
Cortlandt Manor, New York 10567
February 16, 2023
7:00 p.m. - 8:58 p.m.

## MEMBERS PRESENT:

David S. Douglas, Chairman

Wai Man Chin, Vice-Chairman

Chris Beloff, Member

Michael Fleming, Member

Frank Franco, Member

Benito Martinez, Member

Tom Walsh, Member

## ALSO PRESENT:

Michael J. Cunningham, Deputy Town Attorney
Chris Kehoe, Director of Planning

1	February 16, 2023
2	(The board meeting commenced at 7:00 p.m.)
3	MR. DOUGLAS: Welcome to the February
4	Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. We begin with
5	the Pledge of Allegiance.
6	MULTIPLE: I pledge allegiance to the
7	flag of the United States of America and to the
8	Republic for which it stands, one nation under
9	God, indivisible, with liberty and justice for
10	all.
11	MR. DOUGLAS: Mr. Kehoe, if you could
12	call the roll?
13	MR. CHRIS KEHOE: Mr. Martinez?
14	MR. BENITO MARTINEZ: Here.
15	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Franco?
16	MR. FRANK FRANCO: Here.
17	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Chin?
18	MR. WAI MAN CHIN: Here.
19	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Douglas?
20	MR. DOUGLAS: Here.
21	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Walsh?
22	MR. TOM WALSH: Here.
23	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Beloff?
24	MR. CHRIS BELOFF: Here.

1	February 16, 2023
2	MR. KEHOE: Mr. Fleming?
3	MR. MICHAEL FLEMING: Here.
4	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. So the first item on
5	the agenda is the adoption of the minutes for our
6	January meeting. Do I have a motion?
7	MR. CHIN: So moved.
8	MR. FLEMING: Second.
9	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. All in favor?
10	MULTIPLE: Aye.
11	MR. DOUGLAS: Any opposed? Okay. The
12	January 19th minutes are adopted. We've got two
13	new public hearings. The first is case number
14	2023-1, application of Bilal Ahmad for the
15	property of Ace/Sport Realty Holding Corp., for
16	area variances for a proposed hotel located at
17	2054 East Main Street. That's Cortlandt
18	Boulevard. So Mr. Steinmetz, I'll turn this over
19	to Mr. Fleming.
20	MR. FLEMING: Is there someone present
21	for the applicant?
22	MR. DAVID STEINMETZ: Yes.
23	MR. FLEMING: Please.
24	MR. STEINMETZ: Surprise. Mr. Chairman,

members of the board, good to see all of you,
David Steinmetz from the Law Firm of Zarin &
Steinmetz here this evening, representing Bilal
Ahmad and the Rainbow Hospitality Group in
connection with the proposed hotel. I'm joined
this evening by my client, as well as by my
colleague, Brian Sinsabaugh, as well as our
project engineer, Christian Freeman from
Keplinger Engineering. And I'm delighted to see
that obviously so many members of the public here
tonight as well.

We're also joined by the current property owner, Philip Hersh, from Ace/Sport Realty Holding Corp. Philip and his family have owned the subject property on Route 6, 2054 East Main Street for decades. My client is the contract vendee or the potential purchaser of this particular site. And as the board knows and the public obviously should know, my client has filed an application for a hotel on the property.

We're really excited about this application. We spend a great deal of time before even filing it analyzing the site, meeting and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

working with the town's professional staff to better understand the zoning regulations, the conditions of the site, appropriate utilities, etc. We've also reviewed some of the traffic studies that have been previously done in connection with Route 6 and other nearby matters, all of which were relevant before we even decided to formally file the application and kick off. The good news, my client felt guite comfortable after reviewing all that information to pursue an application for what is considered an as of right use. A hotel is a permitted and lawful use on this CD zoned property. And we believe additionally, positive news for the town is that Marriott, that Marriott hotel chain found that the town of Cortlandt, Route 6 and this location would be an appropriate place for a Marriott Courtyard to be branded on my client's potential hotel.

So this is going to be, it was proposed to be a high quality Marriott Courtyard, 93 rooms, five stories, which we're going to talk about this evening, amenitized with a wonderful

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

kitchen, full bar and grill, an indoor pool, a fitness center, an outdoor courtyard deck, some lovely landscaping and several requirements that in order to carry the Marriott flag or the Marriott brand, are necessities.

I'm sure the folks in the community are mostly likely well aware that there's been a lot of discussion about bringing a high quality hotel to the town of Cortlandt literally for years. Some of you, and I know Mr. Walsh being one, have followed envision Cortlandt quite closely. The discussion by the town, the town's comprehensive planning committee as well as the town board about having a hotel in the medical oriented district, approximate to New York Presbyterian Hospital, and the town board in its wisdom determined that a hotel would not be best suited in the MOD. And one of the reasons may well be that it's actually already zoned on Route 6 in the CD zone.

One of the attractive aspects of this particular property is obviously it's on Cortlandt Boulevard, Route 6, it's immediately

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

February 16, 2023

approximate to the Bear Mountain Parkway and both my client, our technical team and Marriott believe that it provides a wonderful opportunity for the community, for folks who reside in the community to make use of it, for travelers to, businesses and the community itself as well as nearby attractions in the area and for the greater business community in general. There is no quality hotel, as someone who has lived in northern Westchester for more than 20 years, there aren't a lot of high quality hotels in and around the area. We've certainly seem some growth in that area, but certainly the town of Cortlandt, the town of Yorktown, both I think are desirous of having something of this nature.

So, in order to pursue this, we filed an application in front of the planning board for an as of right application. However, there are some variances that are required in order for this particular hotel to be located on this particular property. Why?

The property has some topographic challenges, which Christian is going to walk us

2.4

through so that we better understand it. We wanted to make sure, my client felt it was essential to make sure that the site had safe and appropriate vehicular truck and first responder circulation. So, we spent a while with the town's professional staff figuring out where the best location would be to align any opening to this particular property.

It's located at the intersection of
Route 6, which, upon which it has frontage, as
well as Jacobs Hill Road. But obviously, maybe
not so obviously, cutting a curb cut into Route 6
to get to this site would not be the best way to
access it. Utilizing Jacobs Hill Road, which is a
signalized intersection would be a better
location. However, aligning it with Pike Plaza,
and that's the correct name for the shopping
location, correct, Pike Plaza, would make it
safer, more appropriate and best suited for fire
access, etc.

On the issue of safety and fire access, again, part of our development team's goal was to sit and meet with first responders. There have

February 16, 2023

already been a series of discussions and meetings with the Mohegan Lake Fire District and fire department. They have already reviewed the application and are part of this process as we go forward.

We filed the application with your planning board. Your planning board designated itself to be the lead agency under the New York State Environmental Quality Review Act. What does that mean? That means the detailed and comprehensive analysis of this project in terms of storm water, utilities, traffic, landscaping, visual, all of those elements will be studied by the planning board as the lead agency under the Environmental Quality Review Act. Then why are we here?

We're here because we do need a series of technical variances, the most significant of which relates to the height or the number of stories on the building. And my teammates here are going to help me try to bring that to life not only visually by sharing with you what it looks like but explaining why it is in terms of

2.3

making this site work best we needed to have what the town considers five stories, as we'll explain, which we would all otherwise really say is a four-story building. There are four stories of hotel rooms and there are four stories of hotel rooms because you cannot attract a high quality, appropriate commercial hotel like a Marriott unless you have a certain degree of vibrancy and a certain degree of occupancy. And that sweet spot for Marriott Courtyard was in the 90, 93-room range, and in order to achieve 90 to 93 rooms on that property, it required the structure that we are proposing. And we are going to walk you through that this evening.

Our goal tonight is to share with the board and with the community, because the community did not apparently attend, at least in great numbers, the first two planning board meetings we conducted. We want to share what this is, what it looks like, why it, why we believe it functions, and functions safely and attractively and appropriately and we're here as I explained to your board when we were here last month,

2.3

because we need to get a sense of the board's predilection to grant these variances. We can't ask you to vote on it, and the community should understand, you're not lawfully permitted to vote on this application until the planning board completes its review. But we asked the planning board and your staff to allow us to come because we're excited about the application, my client is proud of the application. We're happy to share it with the community and we're certainly desirous of getting the community's comments.

I've, both Mr. Hersh and I have already met with at least one community leader from the immediate vicinity to try to get some feedback. I know Mr. Hersh has reached out also the neighbors and the condominium association behind his property and we're here tonight to listen.

In terms of the variances, just we'll go through them in great detail this evening. We need a height variance, we need two side yard variances, we need an off-street parking variance, because we do not want to over park this site. Marriott, Marriott's got a couple

2.3

2.4

hotels. They know what the vehicular movement in and out of their hotels generates, they know how many parking spaces they need to have a viable and successful hotel. And my client owns several branded hotels and knows as well. So we believe we're coming in with the correct number of parking spaces. However, under the code, we would need a technical variance.

In addition, we're also seeking to try to make the parking lot not only functional, but as attractive as possible and by adding landscaping into the parking lot, we eliminate some parking, therefore, triggering again, part of the parking variance.

There's also a landscape buffer variance and we're going to explain tonight, with Christian and Brian's help, the buffering that's being done here, the landscaping that's proposed, the colors and qualities of the materials being proposed. When we appeared before you last month, comments from your board were David, come back with your client having further developed some of the architecture and the colors. We're ready to

2.3

2.4

do that tonight. We're also ready to share with you, I'm sure with Chris's help, a video that he will display on screen shortly.

So, again, for us, we're early in the process. There's a lot of stuff that needs to be done, there are a lot of technical issues that have to be addressed by the planning board in particular, but by your board as well. I've submitted in writing why we believe as a matter of law under New York State law, under New York State town law and under the town of Cortlandt code, we satisfy the requirements for an area variance, or the area variances we have sought.

We've identified the five factors. I
went through that with you at the last meeting.

I'm not going to do that in any great detail
tonight. But we believe we satisfy the five
factor test under New York State law. And we
absolutely believe that the benefit to the
applicant outweighs any adverse impact or
detriment to the surrounding area or community.

It's about time Cortlandt has a high quality
hotel. We think this is a great location. We're

2.3

looking forward to studying that with your board and the planning board. And we hope to receive support from the business communities. Certain business leaders have already reached out to both me and to Mr. Hersh and we are hoping that that will take some preeminence here, and that's one of the reasons that Envision Cortlandt thought a hotel would be appropriate in the town. And that's why the CD zone calls for a hotel in this town.

So with that, as my quick, hopefully fairly quick intro, I'm going to turn it over to Christian to walk us through some of the details and share with you some visuals as well as a pretty cool video.

MR. CHRISTIAN FREEMAN: Thank you all.
Chris, would you mind pulling up a plan, just a site plan to start? So we know this site very, very well, we've been working on it for months, but I'd like to bring you guys in and up to speed with what we've been looking at. Yeah, so if you would just open that .PDF, the public hearing set. It's above the video. Alright. And if you go

2.3

2.4

February 16, 2023

to the third, the second sheet. I think you're on page, let's see, yeah, whichever one is the site plan. Yeah, that's it, great, awesome.

So, as David introduced, this site is a great location, however, it has challenges of its own due to the nature, in particular, with topography. When we talk about grade percentages, we're talking a 16 percent slope from the back of the site coming down towards Route 6. What that is equivalent to is roughly double the steepness of a handicapped ramp, an ADA ramp. So this represents a significant slope.

We've been challenged to develop a 2.4 acre lot in a way that makes sense and functions with in particular, vehicular traffic and movements on site while also trying to manage and mitigate effects of topography on the contextual visual natures.

So what that means is our goal has been to limit walls and tier them to be under or equal to six feet in nature. So rather than having a very tall wall or series of tall walls, we've attempted to break those up and sort of soften it

2.3

2.4

February 16, 2023

so that when you're looking at the site from the road or the context, you aren't struck by a massive cliff of a wall visually deterring you and jarring you.

In terms of another limiting site

feature that's affected our layout, the entire

site has sort of a blanket of topsoil over what

is a shallow bedrock system. What that means is

if you drilled down five feet in some of these

areas, you are in bedrock. What that means for us

is you can't simply cut the site out and sink the

hotel. We have to sort of balance between

perching on top of it and working into the

topsoil area so you're not blasting and blowing

up the entire site just to develop it. So that's

also been a challenge in siting our hotel.

What all this jargon really means is we've thought through the layout and we've attempted to come up with something that works best for the town and works best for vehicular safety and visual impacts as well.

In terms of the layout, David touched on this, but there are two existing curb cuts on

2.3

Jacobs Hill Road. So as you turn right on Jacobs Hill Road from Route 6, there is an existing curb cut immediately to the right after that island, yes, right across from that Pike Place where you're gesturing, just across the street. And then further up the hill, where we opted to enter into our site. So there were two options. We determined the lower one would not really work for us.

Unfortunately the porte-chochére and the true drop-off portion of the hotel have to be on the backside of the hotel. And the reason we did that was we were thinking of our visitors. Rather than having most of the parking opposite of where you would go into the hotel, we've now made it more accessible and easier for people to get in. That being said, the true front of the hotel from a function standpoint would be facing the uphill side and towards the curb cut entrance of Jacobs Hill Road.

I'm going to touch on fire safety really quickly. So I've been in touch with Holly and Wayne. Holly sent me over the new fire truck, the

2.3

new Mohegan ladder truck, so the biggest one that would respond to an emergency here. We ran that truck through using a software that determines wheel base and the size of the truck and we navigated it through the site, and then, just to be safe, I used an even bigger truck and navigated that through the site. So there's a 40-foot truck with a 20-foot wheel base that you guys have and own and is the new truck. I used a 41.4 inch truck by 22.5-foot wheel base, so I used a bigger truck just to be safe and make sure it works.

We have two stands, one on the flatter portion of the site by the porte-chochére and then also closer to East Main. So on those two faces of the buildings, a truck would come in, make its stand and safely, not on a slope, flat, have a flat way of getting a person on a ladder up to, you know, put out a fire. And they've seen these plans and are familiar with them and we're in process of moving forward with them on that.

Finally, I'd just like to touch up on landscaping and in particular in regard to the

2.3

visual impact before I show you guys a video so you have an understanding of the site in 3D, just like plan. The goal, again, of our landscaping, since we do not achieve that landscape buffer, was to attempt to sort of amend visually our impact to the site in ways we can, understanding we can't achieve the buffer, but attempting to soften it up as best we can.

So on Jacobs Hill Road, we've planted trees at 25 feet on center, to give sort of an avenue style, so your visual impact going up Jacobs Hill Road would be a series of trees, and the hotel would be sort of visually seen through the trees. You'll see it on the video. And then at the front of the site, you'll see all those green and purplish circles. Those are ornamental trees.

And this doesn't tell the full story,
because we also are engaged with the arborist
associated with the planning board and he went
out and marked trees that he deemed would be
valuable to stay. So we're keeping trees along
Route 6 and these are significant tall trees that

2.3

February 16, 2023

are full and would buffer the hotel even more. So the hotel is going to be up the hill behind two six-foot walls, with planting in between them and along with that, there's also existing trees.

Chris, I think it's your moment.

So we're going to play a video that swings from outside the property, starting at the Bear Mountain Parkway side along Route 6 and then finishes halfway up Jacobs Hill, sort of making an arched smile motion around the site. Alright, so here we are on Bear Mountain Parkway. You'll see some of these tiered walls with planting off to the right. The pink and white trees at the base of the slope you see are going to be proposed vegetation. Those tall trees by the telephone pole along Route 6 are existing trees to remain.

Now as we look back towards the hotel, there's a guard rail up there for safety, there's trees up within the parking lot and around the parking above while also surrounding the walls.

Here we are on Main Street, crossing over, just so you get a sense of the view. Our

1 | 1

2.3

2.4

February 16, 2023

hotel stands at 50 feet tall. We get a jump there. As you can see, we're keeping existing vegetation. This is modeled as best we can to showcase the existing vegetation to remain, which is significant in height in its fullness.

Here we are across the way. Here you can see the hotel poking through from the intersection opposite of Jacobs Hill Road. Now we're looking north. And on the left you see going up Jacobs Hill Road, that sort of avenue vibe with trees. And there you have those two tiered walls that wrap around, again, to the Jacobs Hill side and sort of resolve themselves at grade with planting in between on top and in front. And that sort of concludes our video.

The materials for the architecture are true in terms of their color and likeness. We actually brought them with us. We can show those off as well. But it's going to be an EFFAS material with a wood, just as is shown in the video.

And then in terms of building height, I believe technically in New York State, this

2.0

2.3

February 16, 2023

building would be let's see, defined as a fourstory building with a basement. But due to how we're using the basement, in your code it's five stories.

MR. STEINMETZ: Can you just explain what's in the basement?

MR. FREEMAN: Sure, sure. So in the basement, if it's New York State code, all it can be used for is storage, or in your code, all it can be used for is storage. But we're using other functions as well. So since the magic number we settled on was around a hundred and we actually went down to 93 for this to be a viable project, some of the amenities and spaces had to be moved into the basement.

MR. STEINMETZ: Christian, another thing I would appreciate if you can explain the four versus five stories on the side view of the building.

MR. FREEMAN: Sure. Yes, so since, as you guys have been harping on it, the pitch on this site, and as you can see in the video, is pretty significant. So if you think about driving

2.3

up Jacobs Hill Road, if you're halfway up Jacobs Hill Road and you turn and look at the elevation of the building, one side of the uphill side of the hotel will not have an exposed floor, but the downhill side would because the grade is sort of resolving from the walkout to covering a floor completely. And we fiddled with grade to try and get as much of that underground as we could, but due to location of the building with the curb cut and the depth to bedrock, we found ourselves unable to really sink it further. And then I think I'm going to invite Brian up to discuss variances further.

MR. BRIAN SINSABAUGH: Hi, good evening, board. My name is Brian Sinsabaugh. I'm an attorney with Zarin & Steinmetz. I'm just going to briefly go through some of the variances that we are requesting in greater detail just to clarify for both the board as well as the audience, what variances we are seeking tonight.

Just to build upon what was just being stated with regard to building height, what is permitted within our zone, the CD district, is

2.3

February 16, 2023

three stories and 35 feet. What is being proposed here is and what we're requesting I should say, is five stories and 50 feet, which would require a variance of two stories, 15 feet.

The reason why we had this height again,

I'm just going to be very brief, is with regard

to the topography for all intents and purposes,

on the rear, if you're facing the rear side which

is further up on Jacobs Hill Road, that will

appear to be four stories, but as you do come

down, it is five stories on the lower end if

you're looking from Main Street.

With the screen that we've provided, this will be blocked out, you will not see the lower portion of that building as you're heading out main street. The trees that's comprised of the existing screening as well as what's being proposed in that area will shield part of that area, that building. So I do not believe that at any point you will be visibly able to see the full five stories, so some of those impacts are mitigated in that fashion.

Next, with regard to the side yard

2.0

2.3

2.4

setback, there are two side yard setbacks. I'll go through the more intensive one first. That is the corner lot side yard setback which abuts

Jacobs Hill Road. Pursuant to Section 307-4 of the code, the side yard setback of a corner lot must be the lesser of a front yard, or the, double the side yard. The side yard in this instance in the CD district is 50 feet.

Therefore, and the front yard is 75 feet, therefore we are required to hold a 75 foot side yard setback.

We've, what we've proposed here is 45 feet, requiring a variance of 30. Again, though, it's building upon what was stated earlier by Christian, is that we provide landscaping as well as tiered walls to try and mitigate the impacts of having this reduced side yard setback.

Additionally, the side yard setback is only five feet less than what the normal side yard setback would have been, so there is significant distance between the buildings and the roadway. However, we, again, it will be screened, both with a combination of the street

February 16, 2023

trees as well as the tiered wall that does have landscaping in between.

Moving on to the other side, which would be the side yard setback abutting Bear Mountain Parkway, the side yard setback being 50, the requirement being 50 feet, and we are proposing 44 feet. Again, we have proposed some landscaping in this area due to the restraints of our property. We have a very small property line on that side. We are unable to put trees in this location. However, there are trees located on the adjacent property and we've also supplemented that with the tiered walls and the landscaping in between that location.

Moving on to parking, the third one is parking, so again, we have off street parking.

And as David had mentioned, the -- we provide 93 spaces, which we verified with the Marriott that that is sufficient. Marriott has interest in having enough parking for their patrons, so those staying there, that is more than sufficient. What we do, we are proposing here is a 93-room hotel and that is not one space per room and most

typically, you will not have a mass capacity hotel at any point in time, but we do want to have the ability to have at least one space per room.

In addition to that, the requirement for the town is that there's one space per room and in addition to that, there is one space per maximum shift number of employees. In this case, that would be seven employees, resulting in 100 spaces, but we're now requesting a seven space parking reduction for variance.

The parking variance that we're requesting is in part to provide additional parking lot landscaping. So we do have park- -- parking lot landscaping is proposed in the rear of the parking lot. That breaks up the larger portion of the parking that we do have. We've provided two and a half percent, the requirement being five, thus requiring a variance of two and a half percent.

The rationale behind this is both to provide sufficient parking, but also we want to have mobility for fire vehicles to move around

2.3

the site. And I do want to note that also for those that would be viewing that parking lot, this parking lot is on an upper portion of roadway. If you come up on Jacobs Hill Road, it's up on the rear. If you're looking at East Main Street, it's not really, it's not going to be visible since it is in the rear of the site. This is different than some other parking areas within the town. You would like to add landscaping to certain areas that are highly visible. This is not highly visible.

Also, from the rear, if you're looking down from further up on Jacobs Hill Road, you will not see that entire, the entirety of this parking lot. There is a wall that's along the rear of that parking lot. That's six feet. There is an immediate dropdown in that area. You also have landscaping that is proposed along the rear, in addition to all the existing vegetation.

That vegetation will screen a large portion of that parking lot, so that parking lot will not be visible from those, looking from what I'll call the rear of the property.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

And lastly, we do have a landscape buffer requirement which would be 25 feet. That requirement for the 25 feet requires that the landscaping be comprised of trees, other vegetation, and it must be at a height of 20 feet, or provide screening of up to 20 feet.

We have provided ten street trees along Jacobs Hill Road, as I previously mentioned. There is additional, there are walls that are located, tiered walls on the Bear Mountain side, but neither of those sides do fully meet that requirement. We do feel that there is sufficient screening however, between what it is the existing vegetation as well as the proposed landscaping. What we have is of the 25 feet, we are requesting a full variance of that, but I do want to clarify again, there is eight and a half feet between the boundary line and the parking lot. That is consisting of landscaping, tiered walls, street trees, other vegetation. However, it just does not meet the full requirement of the code.

MR. STEINMETZ: Just to conclude before

2.3

2.4

the public speaks, I know that was a lot of information. We know we have provided you all and the public with a lot. There's no question that the building is a good sized building. Nobody is going to say otherwise. Again, for our purposes, it's a four-story building that the town considers five because of the topography.

The good news for us, we think the way the site sits, the topography of the site, the walls that you've heard about this evening, the fact that it's set back from Route 6, it actually is quite nicely nestled into the hillside and we think that the visual analysis will show that it's not an adverse impact.

In terms of some of the questions you asked at the prior meeting, there would be, and I apologize if it was the planning board that asked me as opposed to you on this one specifically, it would be no fewer than two electric vehicle charging stations incorporated into the parking lot. So there would be at least two.

We are reviewing right now a number of other sustainable features that can be baked into

2.3

the building. Those are not necessarily relevant to the variance analysis. But it's important for you to know that our client is trying to figure out a way to make this as appealing and as environmentally sensitive as possible.

In terms of other benefits to the community, obviously, the real property assessment on this property would jump dramatically. In addition to real estate taxes, there would be no less than \$300,000 in sales tax generated from this hotel, as well as an additional \$100,000 in hotel tax or what's called room tax in Westchester County.

So from a fiscal standpoint, we believe that this will be beneficial to the town, to the school district and to the community at large. There would be a number of permanent employees working here. Obviously, there's shifts, so there would be a number of different individuals on each shift, but it would be a permanent employer and full time employer in the town. In addition, development of the site would result in a substantial amount of construction jobs as well

February 16, 2023

2

3

that would be here for the period of time during construction.

4

5

6

7

9

10

And just to conclude, we have done what we consider to be the first part of the visual analysis. We know that there will be further studies as we go forward. But we did this visual analysis, Mr. Chairman and members of the board, at this point, because as you know, I encouraged staff to allow us to come into your board at this early point so that we could get your feedback, so that we could get the community involved.

11 12

And the reason that my client has asked

14

13

us to get your feedback at the earliest possible

15

date is because as much fun as it is to come to

Cortlandt and go to the planning board and go to

16 17

the zoning board and work with your staff, and

18

you guys know I do it a lot with this town, I

19

have no desire to make my client go through that

20

process for a substantial period of time if this

21

application doesn't have the requisite amount of

22

traction. It's a permitted use, it's a great

23

idea, but it needs variances from your board, and

24

that's why I'm here.

2.3

2.4

MR. FLEMING: If anyone from the public has comments, can you please come up to the podium. You're welcome, please come on up. Ma'am, before you start, could you also just state your name and your address?

MS. VIRGINIA SCHNEIDER: My name is
Virginia Schneider. I live at 2309 Jacobs Hill
Road. I'm president of the board of managers
there. And I live at Woodcrest Jacobs Hill as I
said. It's a senior citizen complex up the road
from the proposed hotel. There are approximately
180 units up the hill that include condos and
rental apartments.

The proposed hotel is too close to

Jacobs Hill Road. The entrance and exit to and

from the hotel are dangerous and a safety factor.

At one time a few years ago, there was a house

fire on that property that started at 6:00 p.m.,

a.m. rather, and a lot of fire trucks came

because of the size of the fire that blocked

anyone in and out to go to work. This was the

only egress to get out of the complex. What will

happen if this hotel is built?

2.3

This proposed project is asking the zoning board to waive not one, but three variances, a height variance, they are asking you to raise more than 40 percent over the existing variance. That change, if and when it occurs will set a new precedent for further building in this town. This is still a suburban community even though the existing traffic along Route 6 from Conklin Avenue to Lexington is worse than most cities.

We the citizens of Woodcrest at Jacobs
Hill implore you, ask you not to approve this
project and save the suburban atmosphere of
northern part of Cortlandt. Thank you.

MR. BOB BAKER: Good evening. My name is Bob Baker. I live at 1310 Jacobs Hill Road. I live in Building One and the back of Building One will face this hotel, so that the five units that are at the back of Building One on the third floor will basically, when we get up in the morning, look out any of our windows, look out the balcony, we're going to be staring at the top of this hotel. Certainly not what I intended to

February 16, 2023

when I moved to this area.

build a hotel at this site? Is this the proper place to build it? Do we need a hotel? Do we need

The essential question here I think is

one, you know, so badly that it needs to be squeezed into this space? It seems to me that

why do we have to waive multiple variances to

there are a number of places that are much larger

along Route 6 and all throughout the town of

Cortlandt that could better accommodate this

hotel.

This project is too large. It's too high. They're asking you to waive the height variance by over 40 percent. And to me, that's going to set a precedent that's not going to be good in the long run. It's going to mean that there are going to be other buildings the same size eventually, if that's what we're intending to do.

This building is too close to the parkway. They're looking for a variance there.

It's too close to a busy road, and to me, it's going to be a threat to the health and safety of

1	February 16, 2023
2	the two communities, the two senior citizen
3	communities that are on the top of that hill.
4	Turn it down, please. [applause]
5	MR. FLEMING: Anyone else from the
6	public?
7	MS. STEPHANIE BUCARIA: Hello. Hi. My
8	name is Stephanie. I'm 33 years old and I've been
9	a resident of Parkway Drive for 33 years.
10	MR. FLEMING: Can you state your full
11	name and your address?
12	MS. BUCARIA: Oh, sure. It's Stephanie
13	Bucaria, 11 Parkway Drive.
14	MR. FLEMING: Thank you.
15	MS. BUCARIA: You're welcome. I've grown
16	up in Cortlandt and watched it changed over the
17	years. Our street was redone one time previously,
18	so we went through all that construction. And
19	currently, I'm against the construction of the
20	new hotel for a few reasons. First off, I just
21	want to say that I think the business aspect in
22	Cortlandt is failing and I don't see a potential
23	here. Our own movie theater is shutting down. The
24	old ShopRite building is vacant, the old Moe's is

2.3

vacant, Big Lots, Retro Fitness, Model's, Office Max, Emperor Garden, everything around you that you see is an empty building. There's nowhere to go. Even the McDonald's is not 24/7 anymore. You can't even use the back parking lot entrance of Dunkin Donuts anymore because there's a fence blocking it.

And then going back to my street, it's elevated and you can see a nice view of the sky and the stars at night. This was once a quiet, peaceful place when I was a child, but now it's boisterous. You can see and hear Route 6 and the Bear Mountain Parkway all day. You can hear countless cars drive by, people screeching their brakes, trucks honking loud horns, motorcycles, ambulance sirens. And as if that weren't enough, now they're going to build a gas station at the bottom of our street and early in the morning you hear loud construction, jackhammers going off, you know, and this is every day.

So to put a large hotel across the street is not only an eyesore, but a further distraction to the community. To start a second

2.3

2.4

February 16, 2023

project is not only unnecessary but unfair to us residents. It can take a long time to build a hotel, and therefore between the construction of the gas station and the construction of the hotel, this puts Parkway Drive and Jacobs Hill in limbo until these projects can be completed.

To have ongoing construction noise for an undetermined amount of time is not only stressful but it's tiresome and there are psychological risks for residents when it comes to sleep deprivation and mental disturbance from noise, anxiety, restlessness, agitation. Not only that, but the gas station and the hotel will also be 24 hours so that means constant lights on all night, people coming and going, so that's further interference.

I'm also leery of the hotel construction as it can cause a negative environmental impact for energy, water and waste. The hotel has a profound impact on the over production of carbon dioxide in the atmosphere, which is hurtful not only to the ozone layer, but everyone surrounding it.

2.3

The location of the hotel is also poor when it comes to traffic at the four way intersection. Current projects attribute further to traffic and detours.

And several summers in a row, the town has done roadwork in front of our street and King Buffet that caused road closures. Sometimes we weren't able to enter or exit our street at all because the trucks and the workers were blocking it off. One day I wasn't able to get home at all and I had to spend half the way in a parking lot until the entrance to Parkway Drive was cleared.

Adding another project across the street when there is already a gas station being constructed is dangerous and problematic for commuters.

Lastly, I think the construction of a hotel in this area is not needed. There are five nearby hotels in our vicinity that people can go to. The closest one is five minutes away, which is Town Line Motel on Route 202, 2.4 miles away is the Inn on the Hudson, 2.5 five miles away is the Abby Inn and Spa, 5.2 miles is the Holiday

2.0

2.3

2.4

Inn on John Walsh Boulevard and 8.5 miles is the Watergate Motor Inn. So to say we have hotel accommodations already is an understatement.

In my opinion, the companies want to make money and draw in customers, but they're forgetting about their own community members that live here and have to deal with constant noise and construction. Parkway Drive is not the peaceful street I used to know and frankly, I'm disappointed.

All I ask is for the town of Cortlandt to reconsider their plans for the sake of their residents' wellbeing. Thank you for your time.

[applause]

MR. FLEMING: Any other members of the audience here? Please. Please state your name and your address.

MR. FRANK MCINTYRE: Yeah, sure. My name is Frank McIntyre I live at East Hill Road number 21. I didn't here expressively to discuss the hotel tonight. I'm actually relatively new to it, but I do know stuff about hotels and I had some questions about the parking seemed inadequate to

1	February 16, 2023
2	me, and nothing worse than a hotel with
3	inadequate parking because then you get all sorts
4	of illegal parking and issues with ingress and
5	egress, emergency things and things like that. So
6	the question I have is, is there going to be a
7	banquet room in this hotel?
8	MR. STEINMETZ: No.
9	MR. MCINTYRE: No? Okay. And then will
10	this restaurant bar be kind of a destination
11	place?
12	MR. STEINMETZ: [unintelligible]
13	[00:46:47].
14	MR. MCINTYRE: [unintelligible]
15	[00:46:48].
16	MR. FLEMING: Excuse me, wait, wait.
17	Stop. One second.
18	MR. CHIN: You've got to speak into the
19	microphone. Otherwise, we're not going to hear
20	it.
21	MR. MCINTYRE: Alright. So when I mean
22	when I hear 100 parking spaces, it seems like
23	it's undersized by probably 15 more than, less
24	than they need, which typically would be hotels,

1 Febr

2.3

February 16, 2023

there's at least one housekeeping person per floor, when you have a restaurant, you're going to have at least six people working in it at some point, you have the cooks and you have the people serving and then you have the front office staff, the manager, the assistant manager and the front office check in staff. So my perspective is if we're going to do this, and again, I'm neutral on it, the parking has to be adequate. Thank you.

MR. CHIN: Would you like to comment on some of the things they said, David?

MR. STEINMETZ: Nothing you guys said got into the record, because you weren't speaking into the mic, so if you do want to speak, we invite you to.

MR. STEINMETZ: There was a question, thank you, for reminding me of that. There was a question about a banquet, there is no banquet room. There was a legitimate question about parking and Mr. McIntyre would probably acknowledge that it's in my client's interest and Marriott's interest to make sure that people who stay at the hotel have parking, which is what

2.3

he's claiming. We agree.

As we go through the process, we're more than happy to share with you empirical data on 93-room hotels and how much room is required. We have no desire to over park this site, like many commercial businesses in this town and others have done historically under zoning. So if more parking is required, we're more than happy to revisit constructing more parking. I think our data will show you that based upon the number of employees, the number of rooms and the occupancy rate of the hotel, I wish I could tell you that it would be 100 percent occupied at all times and every room will have a car outside. That's not the case.

So again, it's not like somebody just threw against the wall the number of spaces.

These folks and the architects spent months deciding the appropriate numbers here. We're happy to share that data with you.

MR. FLEMING: Is there any other members of the public?

MR. CHIN: The restaurant.

MR. STEINMETZ: The, it's really a café bistro designed to service the folks who stay in the hotel. There's definitely food, it's definitely attractive and will be enjoyable. It's not a destination restaurant. I think there are enough other destination restaurants. And I'll just -- while I'll -- I'll use that as a quick response to Stephanie Bacaria's comments. It's disappointing to hear somebody with decades of experience and life experience here in the town talking about your vacancies and talking about the dearth of things that are occurring.

We believe that one of the things the community needs is a real legitimate and vibrant hotel to keep businesses here, to stimulate the ability for folks to come to Cortlandt. Go read your own master plan. Your comp plan talks about how you would like to be able to accommodate people to come to the area tourist attractions. You've got a list of the tourist attractions in the area in your comprehensive plan. Having a first rate hotel would allow folks to come not only to visit family and friends but actually to

1 February 16, 2023 2 visit nearby attractions, stay in the community, shop in the community, eat in the community, 3 whether McDonald's goes back to 24 hours, I can't 4 5 speak to, but having a hotel of this nature would be a good thing for your community. Thanks. 6 7 MR. FLEMING: Anyone else in the audience want to come forward? I've got to also 8 9 ask if there's anyone online on the Zoom meeting, 10 if there's anyone there, you have the ability to raise your hand, if you want to do that. 11 12 MR. KEHOE: Right now, there are 14 people on Zoom and I guess one of them has raised 13 their hand. If you want to speak you need to 14 15 raise your hand. 16 MR. FLEMING: You may have clicked it 17 and unclicked it. There looked like there was 18 someone who did raise their hand and then took it down. If you meant to take it down, that's okay. 19 20 MR. KEHOE: And then also, I think, just 21 for the public's sake, I don't believe the zoning 22 board is planning on closing the hearing tonight. 2.3 MR. DOUGLAS: MR. KEHOE: So there will be additional 24

1	February 16, 2023
2	opportunities to speak.
3	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. I think no one on
4	Zoom had asked to speak. We had also wanted to
5	talk about having a site visit, so.
6	MR. STEINMETZ: I heard that from the
7	work session. We'd be delighted to have you come
8	out there. And give us enough of a heads up in
9	terms of when that will occur, so I can make sure
10	our engineer is there, and maybe we can even try
11	to stake out some corners and appropriate
12	locations so that you can see it in context.
13	MR. DOUGLAS: Yeah, we were thinking if
14	it works for the applicant, on March 12. That's a
15	Sunday, Sunday March 12, if that works. That's
16	the weekend before the next, the next meeting.
17	MR. STEINMETZ: Got it. That should
18	work. That should give us more than enough time
19	to do what we need to do and we'll have the right
20	people at the site.
21	MR. KEHOE: As you are well aware, the
22	boards like to go out on Sundays.
23	MR. STEINMETZ: I get it.
24	MR. KEHOE: If it can't be the 12th,

February 16, 2023

maybe it could be the 5th, but the 12th is so close to the meeting.

2.3

14114.

MR. STEINMETZ: I'm aware. So we'll make

5 sure that we make that happen. Any questions from

the board, anything else that we can answer or

7 provide you with? Okay.

MR. KEHOE: Oh, one thing, technology wise I don't know how I would have done it, but you provided to me and I provided to the board, I think there's 24 images on the back pages of the plan set. And those images are noted from where they're taken from, you know, image one is looking this way, image 20 is looking that way. I'll have those in my office if anyone wants to see them. I'll also try to get them on the zoning board's web page, and just reminding the zoning board that we didn't spend a lot of time other than the video, but there are numerous images from different locations.

MR. WALSH: I just have one comment. I know it was brought up last time, elevation of the building to the left where the back nine is, what is the elevation of the roof there compared

1	February 16, 2023
2	to the roof of the hotel?
3	MR. KEHOE: I did have a brief
4	discussion with Martin Rogers, the building
5	inspector about that right after the last
6	meeting. I don't think it's necessarily up to us.
7	We can talk to Christian about it, but we have
8	some topo maps and some information that that
9	number could be reached fairly quickly to compare
10	the top of the roofline of those buildings to
11	these, if you just get in touch with our office.
12	MR. STEINMETZ: You're right, the
13	question was asked, getting that information from
14	Chris and Martin would definitely help answer.
15	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. So why don't we have
16	a motion to adjourn the public hearing.
17	MR. WALSH: I move to adjourn the public
18	hearing.
19	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay.
20	MR. CHIN: Second.
21	MR. DOUGLAS: All in favor?
22	MULTIPLE: Aye.
23	MR. DOUGLAS: Any opposed? Okay. So
24	MR. KEHOE: And just for the record,
	II

1 February 16, 2023 it's March 16th will be the next zoning board 2 3 meeting. MR. CHIN: And March 12th site visit 4 5 will be 10:00 o'clock. MR. DOUGLAS: 10:00 o'clock if that 6 7 works for everybody. MR. STEINMETZ: Okay. Christian write 8 9 that down, 10:00 o'clock. We definitely need you 10 there. 11 MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. Thank you very much 12 everybody. We'll see you next month. 13 MR. STEINMETZ: Thank you. Thank you for 14 your patience and your time tonight. 15 MR. DOUGLAS: We've got one other item 16 on the agenda. I don't, I'm not sure, we'll give 17 it a minute, because some people will be leaving 18 right now. The next item is case number 2023-2 19 application of Ben Cozzi of MJD Contracting for 20 an area variance for maximum floor area for a 21 proposed new house located on East Hill Road. And 22 before the applicant starts, because I'm not sure 2.3 how many people are leaving, why don't we give 24 them a chance to just leave the room. Okay, does

2.3

February 16, 2023

the applicant want to come up on the next matter

on East Hill Road, and I'll turn this over to Mr.

Chin, whose case it is.

MR. CHIN: Yes, this is my case. I did a site visit but would like to hear from the applicant and see what they want to propose.

MR. BEN COZZI: Good evening, Mr.
Chairman, members of the board, Ben and Lisa
Cozzi, owners of East Hill Road, which does not
have a physical address yet, so we can't give you
that number. We're here tonight applying for a
variance for the home that we're going to be
building there. And it's one variance, so we're
not looking to encroach into the side or rear
setbacks of the property. We know the size of the
house that we're allowed to build. We're aware of
the footprint. We're not looking to expand on the
footprint.

What we are looking to do is to incorporate a garage into the house. So by incorporating the garage, it's going to make the second story of the house larger. By doing that, it's going to make the second story of the house

2.3

2.4

larger. By doing that, it's going to increase the size of the house. And we're doing that for two reasons. We're doing this for ourselves. We're also doing this for our neighbors. We want to put a house in the neighborhood that's going to be substantial. It's going to look like our neighbor's houses on either side of it. The people across the street are going to have to see the house. So that is our goal.

MS. LISA COZZI: Right, so what I'd like to say is so what's required by the town is a max floor area the square footage area of 1,750. What we would like to do is get a variance for an additional 612 and three-quarters square feet. That additional square footage will allow us to incorporate a garage. And without the additional square footage, if we -- you know, the rooms wouldn't, the rooms would be very small.

MR. COZZI: Well we can incorporate the garage. If we don't get the variance, we won't have a garage. The house will be the 1,750 square feet. It just will not have a garage. And in alignment with the houses, this house is also

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

going to be in a direct line with the neighbors on either side of me. So in scale, when you're looking at this house, along with my neighbors, I don't know if you have, Mr. Kehoe, oh you do, on the screen there, a rendering of the house. So that would be the front view with the garage incorporated into it. And without it, you're just going to see the front view of the house. And again, without the garage, we will be parking, you know, in the driveway, and I think it's going to bring value to the neighborhood. It's going to keep the value of the neighborhood. As a matter of fact, there's 51 homes in the neighborhood. We would be the only house in the neighborhood without a garage. So I think that's important to everybody that's here for this, listening to this, our neighbors.

The house is for our son, it's not a house that we're building to sell and part of it was I could come here and say I tried. If the board doesn't grant it to me, when my neighbors see me, I can say I tried to deliver a better product and I guess I was denied, but I tried.

2.3

Because I will be seeing these people. They're my neighbors. And you know, again, I'm aware of the restrictions of the house size. I'm fully aware of it. So without it, you know, I can still proceed without it. Again, I just want to deliver a better product for my neighbors.

MS. COZZI: Right, and I just want to reiterate that we're not going to extend within the setbacks that were allowed. We're staying in that footprint. So we're not going to be any closer to the neighbors than what we would --

MR. COZZI: What's allowable.

MS. COZZI: What's allowable.

MR. COZZI: We're allowed 1260 square feet of footprint. So with the variance, if I incorporate the garage into the 1260, what it's going to do is bring the second floor up equivalent with the first, so we're not looking to go out in other direction. We're looking to maintain in the footprint and incorporate the garage into the house and I think that's it.

MR. CHIN: Alright. Actually I went, I did a little site visit myself, around the, drove

2 around, okay.

2.3

MR. COZZI: Okay.

MR. CHIN: And basically, your sight and maybe one or two other sites are, that size in smallness compared to the houses or the properties next door to you. It's just that this was subdivided before the zoning FAR went into effect. And now you're coming in front of this board after FAR went into effect and of course, you know, you're asking for a variance based on floor area ratio.

and everything else, like the one next to you, the one over here, the left and right of you, of course, everybody has garages and they're all fairly large houses except that their property is that wide while yours is that wide. You know what I mean? So again, I really don't see a problem with what you're asking for, only because I think it will really kind of match the houses around you, not realizing that you have a smaller lot. There's only a few lots in that whole development that's actually that small.

And there was one house that was built on, number 35 East Hill Road, it was actually there, that house or let's say their [unintelligible] [01:01:20] was even larger than yours, what you're asking for, but they built it prior to the new FAR zoning variance.

MR. COZZI: Right.

MR. CHIN: Okay. So, again, based on the five factors and everything else, I really don't see a problem with anything on the five factors. Is it a detriment the neighborhood? I don't think it's going to be a detriment because you really can't tell, unless you put a fence on your property saying that's my property. And from the next door it was that wide, you know what I mean. Things like that.

There was also can you incorporate the garage in another way? I don't think you can. You know what I mean, from looking at the plan.

MR. KEHOE: You cannot.

MR. CHIN: There's a few things I want to ask, is basically, you have a basement, alright. I see that there's backyard sliding

1 February 16, 2023
2 doors and everythin
3 because I have no
4 MR. COZZI
5 It's an unfinished
6 because the lot wid
7 the lot, you should
8 MR. CHIN:
9 think what you got
10 department what are

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

doors and everything else. What's down there, because I have no floor plans at all.

MR. COZZI: We don't have a floor plan.

It's an unfinished basement and it has egress

because the lot will allow for it, the sloping of

the lot, you should be able to walk out.

MR. CHIN: Oh, okay. So basically I think what you gotta to indicate to the building department what are you going to do down there. It just can't be just a, you know, a vacant space. There's got to be something there because you've got sliding doors, you've got some windows on there. It's got to be something, you know what I mean?

MR. COZZI: It is.

MR. CHIN: It just can't be a storage area with sliding doors, you know what I'm saying, and windows and so forth. So this is something that you have to indicate to the building department indicating whether there's actually and how big it is.

Again, the hardship, I don't think it's self created because the land was already there

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

February 16, 2023

prior to this new FAR zoning. On all the four, five factors, I really don't see a big problem with it. That's how I feel. Anybody else from the zoning board?

MR. DOUGLAS: Well, we've heard what Mr. Chin's view is. Does anybody from the public want to speak?

MR. MICHAEL CUNNINGHAM: And I do think before the public speaks, just one point of information which might be helpful is there's a quirk in the law and it affects virtually every community in the state, where if a lot was created prior to the town zoning code being in place, then you can still build the lot, so admittedly this lot is generally smaller than other surrounding lots. They can still build a single family as of right pursuant to certain specifications. Really the only question right now is do you want a garage to be part of a house, or do you not want it to be part of the house, because they can still build a single family house. Of course too, there can also be some screening and other things that the board

Page 59 oing up look at , they're
look at
look at
, they're
, so.
hat the
ng to
hey have.
your
el. I
be if
Thank
mments.
go
ant meets
nts based
ersized
2

2.3

2.4

February 16, 2023

application, there's only one other home with less than a minimum of 30 feet between our walls.

The pictures submitted in the application show the homes to the left and right but they don't show the uncharacteristically narrowness of this lot compared to everyone else. This is a pretty big invasion of mine and my family's privacy, which windows peering into our home that weren't there before.

The applicant also uses 51 other homes as an example of homes that all have garages. I think this zoning board denied a garage for 24 Pierce Road, which is one of those. It was denied because of the steep nature of the lot, which in the applicant's own plans are noted as steep, that's in the bottom left of sheet two.

The example of the most similar other house on 35 East Hill Road is a three-bedroom house with a garage in the front, not a four-bedroom. This should kind of weigh against the 35 percent variance they're seeking for the square feet. They can't fit four reasonably sized rooms in this small lot but I think they can fit three.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

The proposed benefit to the neighborhood in the five factors is being made by an outside developer who purchased this lot maybe two months ago. Many folks from the neighborhood are here today, and I don't think they agree.

There also is a claim that there's no environmental impact from this variance. In the plans, there is a 200 square foot "rain garden" which seems to be essentially a pit to hold standing water up to a maximum of six inches. Unless someone's standing there with a bucket and planning on emptying it out, I don't know how that would hold. That also presents a risk to my property and drainage and to the people lower on [unintelligible] [01:07:01]. There's also deer, fox, beavers, a groundhog we've named Wilbert that live in our house, or near our house, not in it. There's no environmental study or drainage study I saw to say that the plans won't negatively impact [unintelligible] [01:07:21].

And then lastly, the survey line markers, I don't know, to my eye they don't seem straight. I didn't see a survey stamped by a

2.3

2.4

licensed land surveyor and it doesn't include what reference points were used to denote where the property line is against my home. So, given these concerns, I have some mitigations that I think will help here. When we moved to Cortlandt, we expected an R-40 zoning neighborhood, which implies quite a large distance to your neighbors, quite a large lot size, and this lot is grandfathered in to not required to meet any of it. A privacy fence of arborvitae trees on the three size bordering the property would help mitigate the impact to the privacy and preserve property values.

The rain pit is a serious point of concern. The example of all of the homes that this is similar to, none of them have such a pit. Standing water right next to my shed where animals will come and leave feces, will spread bugs, spread ticks, this doesn't seem right to me.

The additional space needed for the garage, the board can help ensure all the neighbors that it won't be turned into an

accessory apartment or a rental space if they can require that it shall not be made into a living space.

The exact plans for how the building will sit on the property were not included. I can't see how far the wall will be from mine. It doesn't show that it will be at least 7.5 feet.

So we should be able to see such plans and review them.

And then finally, we should see an environmental and drainage study to guarantee that mine and the neighbors' houses lower on the hill won't be impacted. Thank you for hearing these concerns and letting me comment today. I hope you can help mitigate mine and my other neighbor's concerns.

MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you. Just in terms of some of the things you raised, the drainage issues, I believe the building department would deal with those issues correct?

MR. CUNNINGHAM: The house couldn't be built without a fully engineered site plan. So we do have an internal staff we're reviewing any

1 February 16, 2023 2 sort of drainage plan. UNIDENTIFIED FEMALE: Can you speak into 3 the microphone? 4 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: Sure. Before they would be able to actually build their house, they would 6 7 have to submit a drainage plan to our in-house building staff. 8 9 MR. PATEL: Okay. Well, the plans I see 10 now have essentially a 200 square foot hole in 11 the ground next to my shed. This doesn't seem 12 sensible to me. 13 MR. KEHOE: The applicant will have to 14 address that. The applicant's engineer is here. He has to defend the rain garden, but I'm sure he 15 16 will say it's not just a hole in the ground. But 17 that would be up to him to describe it to you. 18 MR. PATEL: Okay. [applause] 19 MR. JAMES ABADIE: My name is James 20 Abadie and I live at 47 East Hill Road and I want 21 that address changed. That's the first thing I 22 want done. Okay. I don't know how you got 47 East 2.3 Hill Road, that is my address. I've lived there

for 30 years, I paid \$500,000, almost a million,

24

February 16, 2023

half a million dollars in taxes for that address, okay. So I take that as an offense.

Number two is when I moved in, I was the first house in phase two of the development, okay. The original developers did phase one, which I have some of my neighbors here that have been together for over 35 years together, and in a neighborhood that is pristine condition, okay. I think it's one of the best neighborhoods in this area, okay. And every one of us pay a lot of taxes, started at six, we're at 22 grand plus a year in taxes, okay.

About 12 years ago, or 15 years ago, when another development was going to go through, I stood in front of this board and they wanted to put a road through our property. At that time, we had 31 children. That was the first generation. I raised three boys there, okay. And at that time, the people said oh, the snow plow is going to take another two minutes to go by. I said there's 31 kids on the block. They didn't know that. So I gave an easement so you could put other houses in so you could support development. Okay. And at

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

February 16, 2023

that time, we asked where is the road going to be repaved. I've been there for 30 years. The road has never been repaved. The original developers supposedly put an endowment or a down payment with you guys. The road has never been repaved. You drive down that thing, it's like driving in the Bronx. Okay.

This, the fact that we were never told that there was a couple of houses, when you gave the rights to the original developer and there are about eight or six lots that were left, that were like quarter acre lots, because originally they were going to be homes for people that worked for the trolley company when this thing was first developed in 1923. You gave an agreement to them and yes, you, those lots were grandfathered. But something has to be taken into consideration for all the neighbors that have been paying taxes here for over 40 years, okay. And have some respect for them, and what they've done. And drive up in that neighborhood and look at every house up there. There isn't one of us that doesn't take care of their place, respect

1	February 16, 2023
2	it, we're still waiting for a road to be paved
3	over 30 years, which 12 years ago, you, or 15
4	years ago, whatever that other development came
5	in, you promised you were going to do it. Still
6	not done. Okay. And
7	MR. DOUGLAS: Mister, can I
8	[unintelligible] [01:13:18] for one second.
9	MR. ABADIE: Okay. And I have two more
10	things.
11	MR. DOUGLAS: Wait, wait, no, no, I just
12	want to say. It's not us. We have nothing to do
13	with the paving. You should speak if there's a
14	paving
15	MR. ABADIE: If the town of Cortlandt
16	get my half a million dollars in taxes
17	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. Listen. I'm
18	not trying to argue with you. I'm just saying you
19	may have a very valid point about paving. You
20	should speak to the, to the environmental
21	services.
22	MR. ABADIE: It's all a part of this.
23	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay.
24	MR. ABADIE: Because again, another

2.3

2.4

house is going to come in, another trench is going to put in the street, another bump and down, okay. We're in another whole generation now. There's all new kids there. I have a grandson now who's living and running around on this block. Okay. We love our neighborhood and I feel sorry for anybody that bought the house. I don't understand why we were never told, we were told when we bought the place that there were these little lots.

We were told originally that they were for drainage. My lot, the real 47 East Hill Road, has a tail on it. I have the only flag lot on the block and that is for drainage, because the sewer doesn't go into the sewer it runs through my property and dumps on this extra piece of land. The town had to come in and, thank you, and replace it because it keeps collapsing. So when my neighbor says he's got water issues, you better look at them, because you have just had to change the whole drainage pipe through my property because the drainage is not working. And we told these little lots that were left over

February 16, 2023

2

were going to be drainage lots, not buildable

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

lots. Okay. We have concerns with that. And anything bigger than what was supposed to be there, I think we were not told the truth when we bought our house, okay. We've

neighborhood. We've been paying our taxes faithfully, 30 years, \$500,000 in taxes to you

been living there, we've been taking care of the

guys, okay. I think we get, deserve some respect.

And all the neighbors that are here have been here for a long, long time. Okay. And again, I

feel sorry for somebody that bought a lot and

somebody that thought they were going to jump on

something. They're going to jump into a

neighborhood that really cares. Okay. And we

really care. And that's all I have to say.

[applause]

MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you.

MR. DAN JACKSON: Mr. Chairman, members of the board, my name is Dan Jackson and I live at 45 East Hill Road. I should mention I'm with my lovely wife, or else she'd kill me if I didn't say that. And I'm here to ask you to deny the

2.3

February 16, 2023

appeal. By the way, nice to meet you, I'm sorry we're meeting like this. I wish we had met beforehand and I'm glad to hear that this is your son and not the super beltway project. But the issue really is that, based off of rendering, I don't agree that a forward face garage is more aesthetically appealing.

You know, they submitted five factors for consideration as to why this should be done and I'd like to talk about them for just a moment. The first factor is that it would have a positive impact on the neighborhood by allowing to incorporate a garage. Don't agree. I think a forward facing garage on a squished in lot like that is actually less aesthetically appealing than a bay window or something like that.

Secondly, we don't see any reason that this variance would have an adverse effect on the neighborhood. We appreciate you thinking about us, but we're here to tell you that we think differently.

Thirdly, the hardship is partially selfcreated. I know you agreed with that, sir, but I

2.3

do not agree with that. These folks are realtors and developers with 20 years experience. They knew what they were getting into. Quite frankly, this lot has just about every variance, it's grandfathered with almost every variance you can have. And for it to not to have this one is telling.

Next, the variance is of substantial consideration, important, as much as it will benefit us, it will equally have a positive impact on the neighboring homes. This variance will probably increase their home value by \$20,000 to \$50,000. I haven't seen any of that, and I don't think we will receive any benefit, let alone positive benefit. Quite frankly, the neighborhood is here to tell you that we'll receive a negative benefit to this.

And then the fifth is there's no other way for us to incorporate this. But quite frankly, that may be the case, but given their 20 year professional record, they knew that coming in. They knew they were taking a risk, right. And they are attempting to benefit at the expense of

February 16, 2023

2

3

the neighbors that are currently there. And I

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

don't appreciate that. I think this is pretty easy. On the one

side, you have some folks that are attempting to increase the value of their home. I appreciate that. On the other side, you have no benefit to the community, negative benefits to the neighborhood, as the neighbors will describe. So all we're asking you is to uphold the zoning laws as they are today, and to deny this for the benefit of the people that, you're looking at over a hundred years of folks living in that neighborhood and families. And the people who will be there, quite frankly, long after the developer leaves. Thank you for your time.

[applause]

MR. DOUG KRAMER: Hi, Doug Kramer, 44 East Hill Road, I've been there over 30 years. As a second career, I went into home appraisal and I spent about nine years in it all together. I have not done it in a while, so I won't claim to be an immediate expert. The homes that you drove by, you noticed that there were extra architectural

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

detail and care in putting them together, not only the front, but the side. And that's very important because we can't have a one way dimension that looks like our neighborhood and the rest of the house doesn't. It doesn't have any architectural details. If you would like to bring up a side rendering of it, this is the view that Mr. Patel is going to get from his living room and he's going to be almost as close to him as you and I are talking right now. So this is, it lo0oks like a freight car that he's going to be looking at. And it needs architectural touches, to say the least. And I don't think -these are homes that are over \$700,000 in value all around this particular home. So this is a significant difference in terms of the value of things.

And as an appraiser, I don't have an exact amount, but it would increase the value of this house being in the neighborhood, but it would decrease, particularly the houses on either side of it, because the privacy, there is no privacy on that side. And at least as it looks

2.3

February 16, 2023

right now, it doesn't fit into the others. And if you take a look at the homes, both in front and around the side, you'll see even though they were smaller properties, they still kept the whole house looking as if it belonged in the neighborhood. And it does. It fits in fine.

You're certainly right about that. This, I don't feel that. I don't see it. This side is 48 feet long, 48 feet of nothing on this side and the other side. And, you know, doing more details, if you would, in front wouldn't hurt either.

One thing I would recommend, that you do take a site visit and do look at the other homes that are dealing with smaller properties and one thing I'm concerned about is that we've talked -- the building that they're talking about is 30 feet wide, seven and a half feet are supposed to be on either side. That makes 45 feet. That lot does not look like 45 feet wide to me. And I know there are stakes and things put up. And I don't know if those are official or unofficial. But I would certainly recommend that when you go for a site visit that the planner lay out where the

1 February 16, 2023 boundaries are of the lot and where the building 2 is going to be. Once again, lay it out so it's 3 visible. 4 5 I, as I say I took a measurement and I came up with as small as 35 feet in several 6 7 places. But I don't know what they used as a basis for that. I can only say this, that it 8 9 certainly doesn't look like 45 foot, which means 10 it brings everything closer together. And I think 11 that's in important thing really to look at. And 12 this is the smallest parcel in the whole 13 development, not by far, but small enough. So that's what I kind of feel. I 14 15 understand the positions you're in. I feel badly 16 for the owner. I don't know what best cause is, 17 but I certainly know that this house has to be 18 upgraded in terms of its construction, its 19 architectural details and such or it just doesn't 20 fill in. 21 MR. CHIN: Excuse me. We're the zoning

MR. CHIN: We're not an architectural

I'm sorry?

board, we're not an architectural review board.

MR. KRAMER:

22

2.3

2.4

1	February 16, 2023
2	review board. We're a zoning board. But first of
3	all you cannot tell how people you cannot say
4	to people how they have to design a house. Okay.
5	Number one.
6	MR. KRAMER: Well, let me say this. This
7	isn't how you design a house next to \$700,000
8	homes. And he was and I, I disagree a comment
9	and it doesn't fit in with the rest. If, if the
10	front would be the same, would you feel the same
11	way?
12	MR. DOUGLAS: Well, Mr. Chin, as a
13	matter of this board's power, Mr. Chin is right.
14	MR. KRAMER: Of course.
15	MR. DOUGLAS: We don't, we don't
16	MR. CHIN: Dictate how to design a
17	house.
18	MR. DOUGLAS: We don't, we don't make
19	aesthetic judgments.
20	MR. KRAMER: Doesn't it have to fit into
21	the neighborhood?
22	MR. DOUGLAS: No, we don't
23	MR. KRAMER: Who does it?
24	MR. CHIN: We don't do that.

1	February 16, 2023
2	MR. DOUGLAS: We don't that's not a,
3	that's not one of the factors that we can
4	consider.
5	MR. KRAMER: Oh, it, it doesn't have to
6	fit in the neighborhood, so it doesn't make any
7	difference what it looks it?
8	MR. DOUGLAS: That's not, look the,
9	there's factors that the zoning board can
10	probably consider. We cannot consider the
11	aesthetics. If somebody wants to put a, you know,
12	one type of decoration on, or a particular type
13	of siding or detail. We, we don't, that's not
14	within our power. I'm just saying.
15	MR. KRAMER: It's 50 feet of four
16	windows, folks.
17	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. If you'll
18	MR. KRAMER: And it's next to somebody's
19	home and it's going to cost
20	MR. DOUGLAS: I'm not trying
21	MR. KRAMER: them money.
22	MR. DOUGLAS: I'm not, I'm not trying
23	to argue with you.
24	MR. KRAMER: I know.

1	February 16, 2023
2	MR. DOUGLAS: I'm just
3	MR. KRAMER: I'm trying to argue with
4	you.
5	MR. DOUGLAS: that, you know, we
6	have, we have certain powers and there are
7	certain things we do not have. We cannot make
8	aesthetic judgments. We never do. Okay. I'm not
9	saying that's right or wrong. But there are some
10	factors
11	MR. KRAMER: Well, maybe subliminally,
12	you'll remember that as you look at this house
13	and the space, and please do check the width of
14	it, so that the people on either side
15	MR. CUNNINGHAM: According to the plan,
16	it's 50 foot wide.
17	MR. KRAMER: Please check the width of
18	it. I've been there, I've walked it.
19	MR. DOUGLAS: We'll make
20	MR. KRAMER: I don't see 45 feet.
21	MR. DOUGLAS: we'll make sure that
22	the numbers are right.
23	MR. KRAMER: If, if you guys folks put
24	it down, if you folks put down

1	February 16, 2023
2	MR. CHIN: Can I say something?
3	MR. KRAMER: the lot size and you put
4	stakes on it, then we're square. There's no
5	problem.
6	MR. CHIN: Here's the site plan, it says
7	50 foot wide, okay.
8	MR. KRAMER: It's not 50 foot wide. I'm
9	telling you, put stakes on that.
10	MR. CHIN: Well, I'm just saying,
11	according to this thing, it says
12	MR. KRAMER: It's because this goes a
13	lot more into the property on either side.
14	[CROSSTALK]
15	MR. DOUGLAS: We won't excuse me.
16	Hold on, hold on
17	[CROSSTALK]
18	MR. DOUGLAS: Hold on.
19	MR. CHIN: He does, he had to
20	MR. KRAMER: Can you put stakes on this?
21	[CROSSTALK]
22	MR. DOUGLAS: Wait, wait, hold on
23	a second. We will, we will make we will double
24	check the numbers, okay.

1	February 16, 2023
2	MR. KRAMER: Not, not the numbers, at
3	the site.
4	MR. DOUGLAS: The site, we will
5	MR. KRAMER: Because those numbers
6	aren't working.
7	MR. DOUGLAS: Yes, we will double we
8	will, we will make sure it's correct, okay. I
9	assure you, we'll make sure it's correct, okay.
10	MR. KRAMER: Alright. If you could for
11	all of us, and if this is 55 feet, this goes a
12	lot further towards his lot.
13	MR. CHIN: It's 50 feet, according to
14	this.
15	MR. KRAMER: Fifty-three, okay. And it
16	goes to the lot, into the other lot. No, I see
17	through your four markers for measurement there -
18	_
19	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. We will
20	MR. KRAMER: I don't know which one is
21	accurate.
22	MR. DOUGLAS: We will, we will check.
23	MR. KRAMER: But none of those are 40
24	feet.

1	February 16, 2023
2	MR. DOUGLAS: We will, we will check.
3	We'll make we'll double, we'll reconfirm it.
4	MR. KRAMER: Okay. Can you? So we all
5	can see? And don't be wrong.
6	MR. DOUGLAS: Yes. I give you my word
7	that we
8	MR. KRAMER: That that would be worth
9	MR. DOUGLAS: will, I give you my
10	word
11	MR. KRAMER: doing, to stake it out.
12	Can you?
13	MR. CUNNINGHAM: The board can't force
14	someone to stake their property, you'd have to
15	talk to the owner of the property.
16	MR. DOUGLAS: We can't do that.
17	MR. KRAMER: I don't understand your
18	point.
19	MR. CUNNINGHAM: My point is you can't
20	tell the board to tell someone to stake their
21	property. You'd have to
22	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You just told the
23	other property owners to do the exact same thing.
24	MR. CUNNINGHAM: To stake it?

	Page 8
1	February 16, 2023
2	UNIDENTIFIED MALE: You told them to
3	have it marked out so we could see where the
4	hotel was going to be.
5	MR. CHIN: No, we didn't.
6	MR. DOUGLAS: No, no. They said
7	MR. CHIN: They said, they said they
8	would do it.
9	MR. DOUGLAS: We can't
10	MR. CHIN: We did not ask them to do
11	that. The, they said they would stake out corner
12	shows exactly where the hotel would be. We did
13	not ask for that.
14	MR. KEHOE: I, I think similar to the
15	hotel, you're going to go out and do a site
16	inspection.
17	MR. CHIN: Yeah.
18	MR. FLEMING: Yes, we are.
19	MR. KEHOE: The same day, and so when
20	they go out and do a site inspection, what is
21	typical, is they talk with the owner and the
22	owner would mark something in the field.
23	MR. KRAMER: Yes, yeah.
24	MR. KEHOE: Sometimes, they would mark

2.3

the four corners of the house, because the issue of the lot size isn't critical. But that'll be up to discussion between the board and the applicant, maybe all eight things need to be marked, the four corners of the house and the four corners of the lot, but that would be up for discussion.

MR. KRAMER: Well, Christopher, if I may, I don't want this to be any bigger surprise to the people on either side, because now, it looks like the property is not 53 feet.

MR. DOUGLAS: Sir, just --

MR. KRAMER: Okay. Enough said.

MR. DOUGLAS: -- we will, we will --

MR. KRAMER: Enough said.

MR. DOUGLAS: I'm going now ask the applicant if he would be willing to please stake out the property. We're going to do a site visit as Chris alluded to. We are going to do a site visit. As soon as the public finishes speaking I was going to mention that. We're going to do a site visit the same day we're going to do the hotel, we're going to do the hotel, we're going to do the hotel site visit at

	11242
1	February 16, 2023
2	10:00 and then we will come straight from the
3	hotel to, to your property, assuming that
4	MR. CHIN: 12:00 or
5	MR. DOUGLAS: No, let's say 11:00, 11:00
6	or something like that.
7	MR. CHIN: I don't know, an hour only?
8	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. Well let's set it
9	for 11:00 and if we're late because we're still
10	at the hotel, can you make it on the 12th?
11	MR. COZZI: Yes.
12	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. So, we'll plan on
13	coming out to you after we finish everything at
14	the hotel site. Hopefully by 11:00, maybe we'll
15	be a little bit later than that. I'd ask that
16	you'd stake out the footprint of the house. I
17	can't force you to do that. But I would ask you
18	to do it. It would make our lives easier. I think
19	it would calm some concerns that the people may
20	have.
21	MR. COZZI: Yes, hopefully it would.
22	MR. DOUGLAS: So I think it would be a
23	good idea, okay.
24	MR. KRAMER: I've taken enough of your

1 February 16, 2023 2 time, thank you. MR. DOUGLAS: No, that's fine. Anybody 3 else from the public? Sure. [applause] 4 5 MR. CUNNINGHAM: I think we just want to clarify -- clarify too, this is as of right. They 6 7 can build a home on this lot. It can be up to 1,750 square feet, so if the board does deny the 8 9 variance, they could build the home at 750 feet 10 as of right with no variance. 11 MR. KEHOE: Yeah, 1,750. 12 MR. WALSH: 1,750, yeah. So we're not 13 saying we can't say yes or no, that they're 14 building the house or not, we can just deny or 15 approve the variance for the extra 612 square 16 feet. 17 MR. DOUGLAS: Well, let me follow up on 18 what Mr. Walsh just said. I think it's 19 personally, I can only speak for myself, I can't 20 speak for anybody else. I personally think it is 21 very unfortunate that they have the ability under 22 the law to build a house on this site. I don't 23 think this is an appropriate site to be putting 24 this house to be built on it. If I were, if I

personally [applause] if I were the neighbor, I drove out there this afternoon, and my first thought was, if I was the neighbors, particularly the neighbors at either side, I would be extremely upset. And so I fully understand and empathize. But the law is what the law is and Mr. Cunningham explained it and we can't do anything about that law. They've got the right to build a house there. The only issue that we can address is whether they can get the variance for the floor area ratio.

UNIDENTIFIED MALE: [unintelligible] [01:30:13].

MR. DOUGLAS: Right. I just want to make sure it's clear to everybody. I also want to get my personal view out there. Again, my personal view, I looked at that lot and immediately said they're going to put a house there, you know, and that's what I said at our work session also. But the law is the law. Anyway, okay.

MR. FRANK MCINTYRE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Vice-chairman, and members of the board. I'm going to come at this from a different

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

perspective. I agree with Parth, Jim and Dan and Doug who made excellent points and I wholly agree with them. My perspective is a little different. I'm coming at this with the long term view. There's the old adage that fences make good neighbors, however when you do that visit at East Hill, the space between the homes without the fences makes even better neighbors.

Everyone knows everybody in East Hill, And I don't know of any neighborly issues over the 25 years, all due to the buffered space between the houses. The town of Cortlandt has this open space initiative, save open spaces. I know it applies to larger tracts of land. Why not have it apply to this [unintelligible] [01:31:19]. Why not start incorporating smaller tracks of land? You can buy the plot from the developer. This tract of land has a lot of biodiversity. Why not conduct, we had talked about this during the hotel review. Why not conduct an environmental impact study, Environmental Quality Review Act was invoked earlier. Does it not apply here?

2.4

I'm not Al Gore, but I'll give you 20 furry and feathered reasons not to develop this land and some are protected species. There's pileated woodpeckers, downy woodpeckers, redbellied woodpeckers on this land, cardinals, blue jays, red robin --

MR. DOUGLAS: Wait, sir, sir. Okay. Two things, when you're talking about environmental things, you probably don't know this but you're preaching to the choir. I have two roles in this town, and the other one is I'm the head of our conservation advisory council. So you don't have to convince me about the importance of environment.

MR. MCINTYRE: I would just like to go on record.

MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. But, but the other thing is what I just said before. They have the legal right to build this house. We cannot say to them they can't build. So

MR. MCINTYRE: Even if there's an environmental impact?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. DOUGLAS: Going through which animals may live and what the biodiversity there is nothing we can do about that.

MR. CHIN: Mr. Chairman, he spoke at the last, can you please repeat your name and where you live also, okay.

MR. MCINTYRE: Frank McIntyre, number 21
East Hill Road.

MR. FLEMING: Yeah, I think when our esteemed attorney here spoke earlier, not everyone understood it. We're calling it the garage, but it's whatever the 700 square foot in the house, if they decided you know what, we're not going to do that, we're just going to build the house without that, there's almost nothing that can be done. There are requirements that are here, that the town they have. But the side of the house can look exactly like that, nothing can be done to stop it. The distance between the two houses can be built and as long as they're complying with that seven and a half foot setback, nothing can done to stop it. This really is whether or not we're going to grant them the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

extra, I can't remember the exact square footage.

MR. CHIN: 612 square foot.

MR. FLEMING: That additional square footage. That's really the only thing that we're able to address. We don't have the power to say no, you can't build this house.

MR. MCINTYRE: So environment issues don't count? Endangered species don't count?

MR. KEHOE: Well, that's always been somewhat of a fuzzy issue. But the zoning board has, they were told, you know, and we're doing this now, the number of trees that need to be removed to construct the house, the impact on the steep slopes. The zoning board is not incorrect to say that's typically not what they do. They're talking about you've got to be five feet from -but they do have to make a judgment of whether granting the variance or not would have a negative impact on the environment of the neighborhood. So it's not as clear cut, but it is something that they have to take into account. But, but, I don't believe and the attorney can correct me if I'm wrong, that they can really

So I think to follow up

1

February 16, 2023

2

deny based purely on environmental factors.

be an environmental concern that you just

engineered in a way that -- and obviously

engineered in a way that just leads to

on Chris' point, obviously, if the site can't be

engineered, certain sites are so steep you could

never put a house there, that, you know, it would

couldn't build. But as long as it's able to be

significant runoff, that's not proper, and our

own internal staff would deny an application.

They still have to obtain all their building

permits as well to build. It doesn't just stop

here. They're going through a whole process at

which is the one who actually denied their

the same time with the town's building inspector,

application and put it here. So the town, we're

all, the environmental concerns are considered.

build or not is whether they can engineer the

It's, but really the question of whether they can

MR. CUNNINGHAM:

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

site.

23

24

MR. KEHOE: But I guess technically speaking, the zoning board could grant the

Geneva Worldwide, Inc.
256 West 38th Street, 10th Floor, New York, NY 10018

2.3

February 16, 2023

variance and the building department could deny the building permit. It's not likely. I don't want to give false hope, but they have to analyze the impact to the trees, they have to analyze the drainage. Usually that stuff can be worked out and they would grant the permit. But to what Mike says, once the zoning board grants a variance, if they do, it doesn't mean they start building the house tomorrow.

MR. CUNNINGHAM: There's also a tree ordinance where they have to, for whatever number of trees they cut they have to mitigate that as well. They either have to replant or pay into a fund. So there are rules in place. There are steep slope rules, there are drainage rules in the code.

MR. MCINTYRE: Okay. Well, just remember me, I put a list together. I'd like finish the other 15 species.

MR. DOUGLAS: Go ahead.

MR. MCINTYRE: It's not going to take long. So I left off at blue jays, red robin breasts, hawks, crows, bobcats, eastern coyotes,

1	February 16, 2023
2	red foxes, raccoons, opossums, skunks, rabbits,
3	chipmunks, wood frogs, toads, garden snakes and
4	groundhogs, to say the least. So again, my
5	perspective is why can't the town start thinking
6	out of the box and start buying smaller tracts of
7	land within neighborhoods. We talk about open
8	space preservation. I'm sure some reasonable
9	people can come to a conclusion and then there
10	will be an opportunity for the Cozzis to move
11	into East Hill at some point when another house
12	becomes available. Okay. Thank you. [applause]
13	MR. DOUGLAS: And by the way, you can
14	feel free to talk to other people in the town
15	about buying land and if they want to. We don't
16	have that power.
17	MR. MCINTYRE: Okay.
18	MR. DOUGLAS: But feel free to go bother
19	Chris about it.
20	MR. MCINTYRE: Okay.
21	MR. CHIN: Any salamanders?
22	MR. DOUGLAS: He doesn't have that power
23	either.
24	MR. KEHOE: Well, no, but I think people

2.3

February 16, 2023

realize the zoning board members are in essence volunteers. They're all town residents, they get a small stipend, but like Michael and I are town employees. So those questions can be addressed to us, but the zoning board is doing this because they want to be doing this. They're volunteers.

MR. CHRIS SAWYER: Hi, I'm Chris Sawyer, I'm at 32 East Hill Road. About 15 years ago, I was here because someone wanted to do a side yard setback. It turned out that the contractor who was trying to build this was trying to build on my lot. So I caution you, they say this lot is 50 feet. I'm in the design construction. This is what I do. That's how I, when I saw the guy's survey, I knew the guy was wrong. And I brought it to your attention and you denied the variance. I appreciate that, thank you.

What I'm concerned about here, you're saying this lot is 50 feet wide. By the two markers that are out there, that lot is no longer, no wider than 35 feet wide. So I really caution you to please check that.

My other concern is this 200-square foot

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

2.4

February 16, 2023

water pit. I'd really like to hear from the developer what that's about, because that can cause all kinds of problems with mosquitoes and other insects, with infestation and illness for everybody in the neighborhood. That's all I have to say, thank you. [applause]

Tom Nolan, number 49 MR. TOM NOLAN: East Hill. The question I have is actually about the zoning of the property itself. I had to do a property survey about a year ago on my own property. And in talking to the engineers about getting everything mapped out, they explained to me that the entire East Hill Road has been under decades long debates among surveyors. And I'm very interested to understand if the survey that's been done on the property, how up to date it is, which surveyor actually participated in doing that and whether or not the setbacks for the building are appropriate given the space and the regulations that it's required to have. So that's all.

MR. DOUGLAS: I'm going to defer to either Chris or Mike about this. I don't --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

MR. KEHOE: Well, and I apologize for that. We put some of the information upon the website. We do have a survey. I did not put the survey on the website. It stated from December of 2022 and it was done by Erik Link and it's signed and sealed. So I will put this up on the web. The zoning board members all have this, but I'll make sure it's available to the public.

MS. DORIS BRAUN: Doris Braun, 48 East Hill Road. I just want to thank all of my neighbors for turning up today and I am in total agreement with all of their comments. I do have a couple of questions. I would like to see the rendering of the house without the garage. I don't know why that wasn't provided to us so that we could compare. My other -- that's the ask. My question is if there is no garage, where are they going to be parking? Everybody has homes with garages, driveways, so I'm curious to know how many cars they expect to put on the street. And then thirdly, Mr. Kehoe, there is a neighbor who wasn't able to show up but they did send a letter and I want be sure that that letter was presented

to the board.

2.3

MR. KEHOE: We talked about that at the work session and I think I just got that. But that's one of the reasons that the zoning board is not going to close the public hearing tonight. That will be provided to them for their information.

MS. BRAUN: Okay. Great, thank you. [applause]

MR. ROBERT GROSS: Good evening, Mr.

Chairman, members of the board. I'm Robert Gross,

I live at 25 East Hill Road. I'm here with my

wife Cindy Nichtberger. It's nice to hear people

talking about this development for 30 years. I'm

here two months. Love the house. And you know

what, at the price I paid, everybody would be

very happy to know, and that's the kind of value

that this community is. It's the reason we bought

into that area. And then to find out, we saw some

markings up above, because my property is located

is almost directly, not quite directly below it,

but it's on the lower level. So it's a big

concern on our end, in other words while they may

2.3

be able to build on the lot, the whole point you saw an amazing presentation by David Steinmetz who was hired by the developer, presenting all those studies that you're going to be able to review in granting all those, in that case, you know, four variances and in this case, you didn't have any of that in front of you. The fact is whether they can build or not, it's a big concern for us being on the lower lots.

You've heard obviously, and we totally agree with our neighbors, the aesthetics and other issues on the adjacent properties, but also it very much, you should very much have concern for the properties below that area. There's a lot adjacent right next to my house. It looks like, I don't know whether anybody can build on it. It looks like if you can't, I'd like to know what the issues are there with this. Thank you very much. [applause]

MS. NORMA JOHNSON: Good evening. My name is Norma Johnson and I live at 33 East Hill Road. Mr. Chin, when you saw the house that was built behind mine, which you gave a variance in

1	February 16, 2023
2	order to build on that smaller lot, that worked
3	because I have a large backyard. And so this
4	house is the side of, the side of their house is
5	the back of my house and that's why that worked.
6	I would like to ask you, you said you're buying
7	the house for your son?
8	MR. COZZI: I am.
9	MS. JOHNSON: Oh, you are?
10	MR. COZZI: Yes.
11	MS. JOHNSON: Are you going to
12	MR. DOUGLAS: Wait, wait.
13	MS. JOHNSON: live there?
14	MR. COZZI: [unintelligible] [01:42:31].
15	MR. DOUGLAS: Can you just
16	MS. JOHNSON: Your son is?
17	MR. CHIN: Excuse me.
18	MR. DOUGLAS: You have to
19	MS. JOHNSON: I can't, I can't do that?
20	MR. CHIN: You have to talk to us, not
21	talk to them.
22	MS. JOHNSON: The reason I'm asking is
23	because when you look at the house and the size
24	of the house and the size of the rooms, it

doesn't look like someone is building it for a home for a wife and children, or any kind of family and children. It looks like a house that you're going to be using for income perhaps or even a B&B or something like that, because it's - it looks too closed in for families.

I am asking you to deny the waivers because we want to prevent something being used in the wrong way rather than not try to correct it after it's happened. Thank you. [applause]

MR. JACKSON: Mr. Chairman, can I ask a question?

MR. DOUGLAS: If you come up to the microphone you can.

MR. JACKSON: If I walk over there I can? So I want to say I understand that you can only rule on this size variance, but what are the conditions for that ruling, right? That's a question. What is it when you consider when making that ruling? Because I'll just really quickly, because as I mentioned, there's no benefit to the community and as you've eloquently given your opinion, there is no background to the

1	February 16, 2023
2	Neighborhood. So what is it that you guys look at
3	to make your ruling?
4	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. There's a statute
5	that controls this and it's this five factors
6	that it lays out. And though I've been doing this
7	for a long time, every meeting, I put a piece of
8	paper in front of me that's got them just so I
9	have them handy, though I should have these
10	memorized, I've been doing this so long. There's
11	five factors.
12	MR. JACKSON: I can see you looking.
13	MR. DOUGLAS: What's that?
14	MR. JACKSON: I can see you looking at
15	the cheat sheet.
16	MR. DOUGLAS: You know, you could quiz
17	me, I could close my eyes and I would still get
18	it right, but the cheat sheet is there, I'm going
19	to use it. So the five factors are whether
20	there's an undesirable change would it produce in
21	the character of the neighborhood.
22	MR. JACKSON: Yes.
23	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. Well, I don't want
24	to

February 16, 2023
MR. JACKSON: I'm sorry, I thought that
was a question.
MR. DOUGLAS: You want to know what the
factors are?
MR. JACKSON: My apologies, sir.
MR. FLEMING: He's describing it for
you.
MR. JACKSON: Okay. I'm sorry, yeah, I
got it.
MR. DOUGLAS: These are the things that
we would consider.
MR. JACKSON: Understood.
MR. DOUGLAS: Okay. The second is, if
the benefit the applicant is seeking can be
achieved by some other method that's feasible,
okay. The third is whether the requested area va
is substantial, the fourth is
MR. JACKSON: Is substantial, is that to
the good or to the bad?
MR. CHIN: is it too large, or too, you
know, not right
MR. JACKSON: Yeah, if it's substantial,
it's less likely to be approved.

1	February 16, 2023
2	MR. DOUGLAS: It's less likely, right.
3	if somebody is seeking a one percent variance as
4	opposed to a 99 percent variance. So that's
5	MR. JACKSON: Got it.
6	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay.
7	MR. JACKSON: So where they listed in
8	their factors for consideration that they say it
9	is substantial
10	MR. DOUGLAS: Right.
11	MR. JACKSON: that's what that's
12	[unintelligible] [01:45:53]
13	MR. DOUGLAS: And that's right, you
14	should think longer and harder about the more
15	substantial ones.
16	MR. JACKSON: Got it.
17	MR. DOUGLAS: Whether the proposed
18	variance will have an adverse effect or impact on
19	the physical or environmental conditions in the
20	neighborhood.
21	MR. JACKSON: I'm not going to say
22	anything, but
23	MR. DOUGLAS: Okay, so
24	MR. JACKSON: we've heard.

1	February 16, 2023
2	MR. DOUGLAS: Right. And whether the
3	alleged difficulty was self-created.
4	MR. JACKSON: Whether 20 year
5	professionals who bought the property and knew
6	about it
7	MR. DOUGLAS: No, I'm not again, I
8	don't, I'm not giving you the answers
9	MR. JACKSON: I know. I know.
10	MR. DOUGLAS: Those are the five
11	factors. So what we will do
12	MR. JACKSON: Okay.
13	MR. DOUGLAS: when we, when we vote
14	on this, which we're not doing tonight.
15	MR. JACKSON: Right.
16	MR. DOUGLAS: But when we vote on this,
17	all seven of us will have those five factors in
18	mind, and we will each decide what we think.
19	MR. JACKSON: I appreciate that, and I
20	should have actually did my homework before
21	MR. DOUGLAS: We may or may not be
22	unanimous. You know, it's majority rule.
23	MR. JACKSON: presenting. But I think
24	the neighbors have done a great job addressing

1	February 16, 2023
2	all five of those. So I don't think it will be
3	particularly difficult in this case to rule.
4	Thank you very much.
5	MR. CHIN: And don't forget, we're going
6	to do a site visit.
7	MR. JACKSON: I'm sorry, sir?
8	MR. CHIN: We're going to do a site
9	visit. We're going to go there.
10	MR. JACKSON: If you let me know when,
11	I'll make sure we're there with some beers.
12	MR. DOUGLAS: Yeah, well, as I said
13	MR. JACKSON: As will the rest of the
14	neighbors.
15	MR. DOUGLAS: Yeah, no, as I said
16	before, we're going to do the site visit on the
17	10th I mean the 12th.
18	MR. CHIN: On the 12th.
19	MR. DOUGLAS: Sunday the 12th.
20	MR. JACKSON: I'm at 45, so stop on by.
21	MR. CHIN: It will probably be somewhere
22	around 11:30.
23	MR. JACKSON: We'll be there.
24	MR. KEHOE: Now, I have to say this.

1	February 16, 2023
2	Don't blame the zoning board. But you're more
3	than
4	MR. JACKSON: I blame you?
5	MR. KEHOE: welcome to, to come and
6	look, but you can't go on the private property
7	unless the owner of the private property allows
8	the residents to go on.
9	MR. JACKSON: Of course.
10	MR. KEHOE: Yeah.
11	MR. JACKSON: Do you mind if I go on
12	your property?
13	MR. KEHOE: Yeah, so, you know, the
14	zoning board members will be
15	MR. CHIN: We stand in the streets.
16	MR. KEHOE: Exactly. The zoning board
17	members will be going on the site, and the
18	interesting thing to know
19	MR. JACKSON: No, I'm just asking
20	[CROSSTALK]
21	MR. JACKSON: I understand insurance and
22	stuff like that, yeah.
23	MR. DOUGLAS: Right. But you can come
24	out and you can stand there on the street, and,

1	February 16, 2023
2	you know, as you people point out, it's a small
3	property, so it's not like you won't be able to
4	see what we're looking at and what we're doing.
5	MR. JACKSON: No, I'm being a bit tongue
6	and cheek here. I do appreciate your time.
7	MR. KEHOE: And the other important
8	thing to note is we notify the media because the,
9	the quorum of the zoning board will be at that
10	site visit.
11	MR. JACKSON: Oh, that'd be great.
12	MR. KEHOE: But, it is not a meeting. It
13	is a site inspection. They don't get amongst
14	themselves and talk about things and decide
15	anything at the site inspections.
16	MR. JACKSON: Understood.
17	MR. KEHOE: It's just for them to see
18	the character of the land.
19	MR. FLEMING: We also don't take public
20	comment at the site visit.
21	MR. DOUGLAS: Right.
22	MR. FLEMING: We will take public
23	comments here.
24	MR. JACKSON: I'm not there to chase

1 February 16, 2023 you. I'm just there to say hi. And I do, I mean 2 to refocus this, we did speak to these five 3 issues, so I feel very comfortable. Thank you 4 5 very much. [applause] 6 MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you. 7 MR. COZZI: Can I say something before we finish? 8 9 MR. DOUGLAS: Yeah, sure, go ahead. 10 Also, I just want to note some people. Mr. Walsh 11 had to leave. He needed, he had a family thing he 12 needed to attend to. So I don't want you to think 13 Mr. Walsh was rude or walking out on anybody, but 14 the empty seat that's here, he left. 15 MR. FLEMING: Before you start, one of 16 the questions I had and maybe you can talk a 17 little bit about it is the rain area, if you 18 could just talk a little bit about that and --19 MR. COZZI: Sure. Well, I just wanted to 20 let my neighbors know, I'm 30 years in the 21 construction business. I own a local blacktopping 22 company. As a matter of fact, I paved the 2.3 driveway this summer of two doors down from this 24 house. I'm very aware of drainage. Part of my

2.3

job, a major portion of my job is drainage. I'm very considerate of my neighbors. I'm not going to cause an adverse problem to my neighbors. My engineer is going to design a drainage system and he's here with me tonight. But the drainage system, the town likes rain gardens. We' used rain gardens in the past on projects. But this project may call for dry wells rather than have a rain garden where the water goes into the rain garden and then it soaks down into the ground. You have dry wells that can be installed rather than see anything, the water goes into the dry well.

MR. KEHOE: Yeah, and Mr. Cozzi is correct in a properly designed and maintained rain garden is typically the preference of the town to handle small scale drainage. But you can design other systems to handle the drainage if you choose not to do a rain garden. But I think you're pointing out, typically the town is in favor of rain gardens, but it's on a case by case basis.

MR. COZZI: Right. And we have Mr.

2.3

Patel, who's concerned about the rain garden being too close to his property line. Okay.

That's something that we can address. Again, I'm, we have new neighbors that just bought a few months ago down in the back. We're going to ensure that any water runoff that's not going that way now, it's not going towards you, I'm aware of exactly how your driveway sits, wherever you are, I'm trying to identify you. I know how your driveway sits, down in the hole.

MR. KEHOE: You've got to use the microphone.

MR. DOUGLAS: You've got to speak into the mic.

MR. COZZI: Where the water does drain off through the woods and goes down towards their driveway, I'm aware of that. I did not -- I'm not the smallest lot in the neighborhood. As this board probably knows, the house that's already there, the yellow house a few doors down is about 1,700 square feet smaller than the lot that I have. I did not put a set of plans up for the house without the garage, because it didn't

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

really matter to me, because if it wasn't approved with the garage, then I'm going to go ahead and build with the garage. And I want to do -- I want to build a good house for the neighborhood. I understand the neighbor's concerns. I really do. I understand they're angry, they don't want a house there. But a house, as of right, can go there. And, but my idea is to build, my whole goal going in was again, you know what, I'm doing this for me and my neighbors. Are they going to be pissed at me later, what did you do, the house looks too small. Well the house is 1,750 square feet, it is going to look small to the neighbors on the left and the right. It will. If the house had more depth and volume, it's certainly not going to lessen the property values. Okay. The smaller the house -- there's a house over on Lockwood Road, you've got the mansion and they've got the affordable housing next to it, this small house next to it. It really looks like it doesn't belong. But that's what's there. What I'm proposing putting there with a garage would fit

February 16, 2023

2

into the neighborhood and I'll do the best I can

3

to satisfy my neighbors. Thank you.

4 5

for the project, Jack Correll. Do you guys mind

[unintelligible] [01:52:09]. This is my engineer

6

if he spoke for a minute?

7

MR. DOUGLAS: Of course.

8

project engineer. I'll cover the engineering

9

aspects that comments were brought up. In the old

MR. JOHN CORRELL: John Correll, the

10 11

days, gutters, drain pipes, spilled on the

12

ground. Now we have rain gardens and other

13

infiltration practices which collect the storm

14

water and treat the storm water and put it into

15

the ground. The soils on this property are very

16

good sandy soils. Like Ben said, we can switch

17

the infiltrators, but rain gardens are not just a

18

hole in the ground. They are a depression in the

19

ground that's filled with organic soils to treat

the storm water that'll infiltrate into the

2021

ground, it'll evaporate into the air. It's really

22

like a little garden, or a big garden. The whole

23

back of the yard is going to be a garden with

24

special wetlands plantings to absorb the storm

February 16, 2023

water. But if it's the preference of Ben and the town, we will do infiltrators.

And as far as the survey goes, the survey was done recently by Link Land Surveyors, a really respectable land surveyor in the business, and in [unintelligible] [01:53:40] the property is 50 feet wide, the property will be staked for the site visit and you will be able to see exactly where the property lines are. And that's really all I have to say. Thank you.

MR. DOUGLAS: Thank you. Anybody else want to be heard? Okay. So -- oh, sorry.

MR. WARREN CLARK: Good evening. My name is Warren Clark. My wife couldn't make it. I'm at 54 East Hill Road. I'm on the house on the left. And I've seen situations like this prior in my life and I'm quite sure you know what I mean, it's going to be a battle. I know you're telling me the town has the right -- that they have the right to build on this property, and you guys decide some other footage for them. However, my feeling is this, right, I've always believed in neighborhoods, right. And always be the neighbor

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

[unintelligible] [01:54:43] to get together and get along. And I'm quite sure these gentlemen are not here to try to cause any uncomfortability. But I do know that they do recognize that the community is disappointed and very much upset about having them here. I've passed by that lot a few times, [unintelligible] [01:54:58] every day. And I was so surprised to know that the zoning board even allowed the property to be put there. I thought that property belonged to the two houses that was next to it. I'm kind of understanding what the gentlemen talking about the footage there. They may not be right, my eyes tell me that it's not right, I take measurements all the time myself, but it is sad to see this happening. I moved to that community five years ago. I'm probably the puppy in the neighborhood. And my dog is Asia, she's the Sheppard that runs up and down the lot always barking to the ones around the neighborhood.

But I moved there because it was a real nice neighborhood and the houses are very spacious apart from each other. I heard the other

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

lady talk about there's not going to be no garage there. I'm really concerned about where they're going to park at myself. I do know when we do have events in the neighborhood for the homes that live there, for whatever occasion may go, graduations or what, it gets, you know, cars can build up there. But if they gonna have any kind of event there and the house next door to them is going to have an event to each other, do you know what that will look like? Them two houses so close together and the three house next to each other, if they have something going on at the same time, it's going to get really out of control because they're so close to one another. I'm so surprised this is happening. I'm shocked. But I'm hoping that you know, gentlemen up there can make the right decision. Alright. Thank you.

MR. DOUGLAS: Anybody else?

MR. CHIN: Anybody else?

MR. FLEMING: On Zoom?

MR. CHIN: Anybody on Zoom? I make a motion to adjourn this case, 2023-2 to the March meeting and also have a site visit on March 12th

1	February 16, 2023
2	approximately at about 11:30 after the first site
3	visit meeting.
4	MR. FLEMING: I second it.
5	MR. DOUGLAS: All in favor?
6	MULTIPLE: Aye.
7	MR. DOUGLAS: Any opposed? Okay. So the
8	public hearing is adjourned to the next meeting
9	and we'll have a site visit then. Thank you
10	everybody for coming out.
11	MR. FLEMING: Move to end this meeting
12	or no?
13	MR. DOUGLAS: Yeah, we need to make a
14	motion to adjourn.
15	MR. FLEMING: Motion to adjourn this
16	meeting. You want to second it?
17	MR. BELOFF: Second.
18	MR. DOUGLAS: All in favor?
19	MULTIPLE: Aye.
20	MR. DOUGLAS: Any opposed. Okay. The
21	meeting is adjourned.
22	(The public board meeting concluded at
23	8:58 p.m.)
24	

## CERTIFICATE OF ACCURACY

I, Ryan Manaloto, certify that the foregoing transcript of the Zoning Board meeting of the Town of Cortlandt on February 16, 2023 was prepared using the required transcription equipment and is a true and accurate record of the proceedings.

Certified By

min

Date: March 3, 2023

GENEVAWORLDWIDE, INC

256 West 38th Street - 10th Floor New York, NY 10018